

New Frontier

JULY 1982
12p

VOICE OF BRITISH NATIONALISM

NOW FALKLANDS HONEYMOON IS OVER FOR GOVT.

BACK TO REALITY

INSIDE STORY

IMMIGRANTS BECOMING ABOVE THE LAW.

A FRIGHTENING NEW DEVELOPMENT is now taking place within the British legal system. Coloured immigrants, wherever they appear in court, are likely to be able to opt for trial before juries comprised mainly of immigrant jury members and get off scot-free.

This is what happened in the recent case of the 'Bradford Twelve', 12 Asians living in the Bradford area who appeared at Leeds Crown Court on charges connected with the preparation of petrol bombs for use against White skinheads. Exploiting the jury system which allows them to refuse one White juror after another until they have a mainly

Coloured jury, the Twelve were acquitted despite the fact that it was proved they had made the bombs and that in fact they admitted having done so.

The same anomaly of justice occurred in the Terry May murder trial in London earlier this year, with the result that the highest sentence any of the accused received was 8 years' imprisonment. This jury, consisting only of 4 indigenous Britons, threw all the murder charges out. Likewise a largely coloured jury let most of the defendants off lightly in the trial following the Bristol riots in 1980.

These developments mean that wherever Blacks or Asians are sent for trial

in areas where there is a large local Coloured population, if they exploit the jury refusal system to its utmost they are liable, on the law of averages, to end up being tried by a mainly non-White jury — and this in a country where non-Whites still comprise only a minority of the populace!

This is just one more example of the appalling consequences of the multi-racial society and of the softness and laxity of British law. These farces of trials have become a green light to Blacks and Asians to riot and murder in the streets of Britain.

Ray Hill comments on the deeper implications of the 'Bradford Twelve' acquittals inside.



THE THINGS MRS. THATCHER HAS DONE NOTHING ABOUT

- 3 Million People out of work.
- British Industry in Ruins.
- Growing Industrial Conflict.
- Coloured Immigrant Explosion and Race War.
- Rising Crime.
- E.E.C. Disaster for Britain.
- Inadequate Defences.
- Treason in High Places.

times sounded as if it were an extension of the Argentine Ministry of Propaganda.

Mrs. Thatcher's Government has done absolutely nothing about any of these problems in the past and there is no evidence that the Government is going to do anything about them in the future. The problems are going to fester and get worse.

Just as one swallow does not make a Summer, one successful operation — the success in this case being achieved not by politicians but by our Marines, Paratroopers and other crack fighting units — does not hide the fact that we have a rotten government. The Government's record in almost every area of national life prior to the Falklands War was appalling. It remains appalling now that that war has come and gone. Nothing, basically, has altered.

The need for revolutionary political change — and the sweeping away of Conservatism, Socialism, Liberalism and all the other tried and failed creeds of the old world of politics — remains as great as ever. What has happened in the Falklands has not lessened this need; it has only underlined it more strongly.

UNDESERVEDLY — for the sole credit must go to our magnificent servicemen — the Tory Government has been enjoying a wave of revived popularity as a result of the successful outcome of the Falklands War. Low in the opinion polls before the Falklands crisis, the Government's stock with the voters soared after the crisis began and the British Task Force was dispatched to the South Atlantic. At the last count 45 per-cent of those questioned in opinion polls said that they would vote Conservative. This upward

trend has been shown also in the by-elections that have taken place within the period.

But now the Falklands War is over and the Government no longer has a glamorous issue to divert public attention from the troubles at home. Those troubles have not gone away during the Falklands crisis — they are still there and they now loom large again. Sidetracked for a couple of months, the nation returns to reality.

And what is the reality? Unemployment is again over the 3 million mark while our shops are bursting at the seams with foreign-manufactured goods. British industry is as much in the doldrums as ever. The nation is torn apart by

industrial conflict, as is evidenced by the recent hospital workers' strike and the continuing saga of the rail dispute. The coloured immigrant explosion has not abated and race war threatens our cities as dangerously as ever. Crime is still alarmingly on the increase, as is acknowledged in the recent annual report of the Metropolitan Police Commissioner Sir David McNee.

And the emergence of the Falklands crisis has spotlighted other grave national problems that have not gone away as a result of victory in the Falklands. The crisis demonstrated how unreliable is the loyalty of our so-called partners in the European Common Market and also that of our alleged main ally, the

United States, none of whom gave us the backing in the crisis that the Government hoped for. The crisis also spotlighted the inadequacy of our nation's defences — in particular the protection of our ships against modern missiles. Many of our finest young men died in the South Atlantic because the equipment in this area of defence had been lacking due to government economies — economies, mark you, while at the same time the Government has £1,000 million to spare for hand-outs to Third World countries.

The crisis also served to remind us of the insidious network of traitors and subversives that staff such institutions as the Foreign Office and the BBC, which some-

New Frontier

No 18 JULY 1982

Editor: Ronald Rickcord

Contributing Editor: Charles Parker

New Frontier, PO Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB.

Tel: 0273 777540

WOMEN AT RISK.

NEW FRONTIER receives a vast number of letters and reports about rape. Reading this correspondence is a harrowing task, and we prefer not to devote too much space in our paper for discussing such a distressing subject. However, during recent weeks we have received so many letters about the soaring rise of sexual assaults on women that we feel obliged to devote this month's editorial to the subject.

In addition to the letters that we receive, we have during the past month read numerous newspaper accounts of how a London nurse was raped by six youths; of the rape of a 16-year-old girl by two teenagers after they had dragged her into a public toilet; of two elderly widows who were similarly raped by a youth in a public toilet; and of an Asian mother who was repeatedly raped by three youths after she and her family had been subjected to the most horrific humiliation. In order to spare our readers' feelings we will not go into the sordid details about these cases.

These foul crimes have one factor in common: they were all committed by Blacks, as indeed are most of the sex crimes our readers write to us about. Despite the fact that the media frequently choose not to mention the colour of sexual offenders, there is mounting evidence that black men are responsible for a disproportionately high number of sexual attacks on white women. As a result of this, women who live in areas with a large concentration of black people dare not venture out of their homes unescorted; and even in their homes they are not safe. So much for the 'cultural enrichment' and 'benefits' of multiracialism that we hear so much about!

We do not suggest that all rapists are Blacks or that all Blacks are rapists. Every race has its rotten eggs; but it cannot be denied that before large-scale coloured immigration, rape was a comparatively rare crime in Britain. Furthermore, it does not escape our notice that the incidence of rape is not lessened by the commercial exploitation of sex, the proliferation of sex shops and pornography, and the salacious and degrading influence of TV, all of which, be it noted, are largely inspired, financed and controlled by aliens.

Let it be made clear to the rapists, porn merchants, exploiters of sex, and to the liberal do-gooders who support them, that we Britons will not tolerate violence against our womenfolk. Let our legislators understand that if they fail to uphold the rights of our women or to protect them from sexual molestation, decent Britons will be forced to take the law into their own hands. They have been warned.

THE VICTIMS OF MULTIRACIALISM

IMMIGRATION AND BRITAIN'S OLD FOLK.

UNLESS a policy of removing coloured people from these islands soon begins, our descendants will be condemned, to living in a half-caste society, and it will be our generation that will have been responsible for bringing that nightmare upon them. By our failure to put an end to the evil multiracial experiment, we are victimising our own posterity; and for that we shall deservedly be cursed by future generations. But there are other victims of multiracialism living today for whom very few people seem to have any consideration — the old people unfortunate to be living in many of our inner cities and towns.

It is our old folk who are suffering most of all from the effects of post-war immigration. Many of them, through no fault of theirs, are destined to live out the rest of their lives in what, to all intents and purposes, have become alien enclaves. Unlike the younger people, they do not have the means to uproot themselves and leave for more salubrious areas. And so they are condemned to spend their remaining days among those with whom they share no affinity, and whose customs and modes of behaviour are utterly foreign to them.



The plight of these old people is a national scandal; and what makes matters even worse is that many of them have had very hard lives and therefore deserve better of us. They lived through the depression of the 'twenties and 'thirties and then they suffered the inconveniences and hardships caused by the Second World War. They deserve to end their days in comfort, consideration

and security; but the politicians' policy of inviting the world's surplus population to this country has made certain that their last years will be spent in fear, loneliness, deprivation, neglect and the squalor which is the norm in areas where large numbers of immigrants have settled.



The attitude of some of these old people in one area, Lambeth, has now been movingly recorded in a small booklet published by the Salisbury Group. The booklet is written by Charles Moore, who is a journalist with *The Daily Telegraph*, and it is entitled *The Old People of Lambeth*. Mr. Moore lets the old people speak for themselves and in their own language, so the booklet has a credibility and even a pathos not normally encountered in the more academic approach to matters involving such an emotive subject as race.

According to Mr. Moore, almost all the old people he interviewed regard immigration as the great cataclysm in their lives. As one 74-year-old widow summed it up: "We did make a terrible mistake letting them in. To think our men died to let that lot in."

The old people complain about the behaviour and manners of many young Blacks who upset them by spitting in the streets, playing intolerably loud music and holding noisy all-night parties. They also resent the fact that the areas in which many of them were born and have lived their lives are now almost unrecognisably altered as a result of the influx of immigrants. As one 68-year-old man who has been fortunate enough to find somewhere else to live said: "I'd never go to London now, though I've lived there all my life. It's not London to me. It's a dustbin."

One of the cruellest things that old (and not-so-old) people living in our cities have to suffer is the fear of going out. Doors have to be bolted and locked and those who

are intrepid enough to venture onto the streets are liable to be mugged or raped. Consequently, these poor old people find themselves virtual prisoners in their own homes; and even there they are not entirely safe from marauding Blacks. One old man expressed his feelings in simple terms: "It's our country and Queen. Why should we be afraid to go out?" Why, indeed.

*The *Old People of Lambeth* is obtainable from: The Salisbury Group, 7 Lord North Street, London SW1, price 25p plus 15p postage.

CHELTENHAM AND GLOUCESTER

THE Cheltenham and Gloucester BNP recently staged a demonstration against CND 'Peace Campers' who were camping outside the US airforce base at Fairford. Local BNP Organiser Robert Rhodes told the press that "The camp is illegal, they do not pay rates. While our servicemen are risking their lives in the Falklands, these peace campers are prepared to stab them in the back." The BNP has also requested that the local council should not provide refuse collection for the campers because they do not pay rates.

Paramount Interest.

'Paramountcy' is a word Francis Pym would have preferred Diplomats should never use, For it is likely to confuse People into thinking that Governments will never rat On our British kith and kin Whatever trouble they are in.

So Falklanders should never count On always being 'paramount'!

YOUR LETTERS

Sir,—I note that MPs have told a House of Commons committee that live sound broadcasts of parliamentary proceedings make the Commons seem "like a second-rate beer hall." In my opinion the Commons doesn't even come up to the standard of a fifth-rate beer hall. In order to overcome their well-deserved embarrassment, the MPs go on to propose that "technical means" should be employed to reduce the level of noise that comes over the air. In other words, what they are suggesting is that technology should be used to prevent the public from learning the truth about their disgusting behaviour and childish antics. Cromwell had the right idea when he addressed the Rump Parliament with the words: "It is not fit that you should sit here any longer!"

H. PARSONS
Felixstowe

Sir,—I have carefully read all the reports in the *Daily Mail* about the trials of the youths who were charged after last year's race riots. Unless I'm very much mistaken, not one of those who have been jailed was black. Not a single one! But the *Daily Mail* itself, the other newspapers and TV showed us countless pictures and scenes of mainly black rioters hurling petrol bombs and other missiles at the police.

I'm therefore at a loss. Is it the *Daily Mail* that is biased by only reporting those cases where Whites are jailed? Or are the Courts biased by only sentencing Whites to jail? Or are we simply witnessing 'positive discrimination' in action? I would like to know.

TRUTH SEEKER
London N16

Sir,—Congratulations and keep up the good work! *New Frontier* is the only paper I can read without getting into a rage. There is more truth and common sense in just one of your paragraphs than there is in all the rubbish churned out by Fleet Street throughout the year. Well done!

S. PARTRIDGE
Wellingborough

THE HOME OFFICE has announced that 13,000 immigrants were accepted for settlement in the United Kingdom in the first quarter of 1982. This brings the total number of immigrants accepted during the year ending 31st March, 1982 to 56,500.

POLICE have opposed bail for American porn merchant Scott Dornen who, with others, has been charged under the Obscene Publications Act. Opposing bail, Commander Len Gilbert of the Crime Squad said that he feared that Dornen's boss, the world's biggest porn merchant, Reuben Sturman, might try to silence him if he were free.

A 25-year-old white nurse who gave a lift in her car to six black youths was raped by them in the forecourt of a block of flats in Brixton.

EDUCATION SECRETARY Sir Keith Joseph has announced that the Schools Council, a quango costing ratepayers £3½ million a year, is to be axed. The Council sponsored research into sex educa-

tion and was opposed to GCE 'A' and 'O' level type examinations. On one occasion the Council proposed that there should be a course to teach pupils 'How to be a Member of a Family.'

NEWS ROUND-UP

ONE of the 12 Asians from Bradford who are alleged to have manufactured petrol bombs has been discharged at Leeds Crown Court on the instructions of the judge after legal submissions were made in the absence of the jury.

TRINIDAD-BORN Anselm Samuel, 41, who is awaiting trial on a charge of stabbing a youth worker with a screwdriver, has been appointed a lecturer to young people by the education committee of the ILEA. The post carries a salary of £9,000. Mr. Peter Clyne, one of the ILEA's assistant education officers, warned

that unless Samuel were appointed to the post "in accordance with his wishes, there is likely to be strong — and possibly violent — reaction from the black community."

AN ATTEMPT to introduce a Bill abolishing the Commission for Racial Equality introduced by Mr. Ivor Stanbrook, MP for Orpington, was defeated in the Commons by a majority of 232.

MRS. SHIRLEY WILLIAMS of the SDP has suggested that police authorities should include representatives of voluntary bodies such as youth and ethnic minority organisations.

THE SUNDAY PEOPLE has reported that John Conteh has become an "ambassador for world race relations" as a result of his visit to New York where he addressed the United Nations' apartheid committee. Said Conteh: "The UN is a platform of civilisation..."

TWO networked TV programmes aimed at Blacks and Asians are planned by BBC and ITV for the autumn.

THE following paragraph appeared in the GLC's housing committee report for the sale of 43 dwellings in Thamesmead: "It is felt that these starter homes may prove attractive to ethnic minority purchasers presently living in private rented accommodation and publicity to reach these groups is mentioned in paragraph 3.3."

MARK THOMAS, a black youth who stabbed a six-year-old girl to death with a screwdriver, was placed in the care of a probation officer for 6 months to see how well he behaves himself before sentence is passed.

A BLACK poet, Linton Kwesi Johnson, has had his works approved for reading by children in a number of ILEA schools. In 1978 Johnson won renown as Cecil Day Lewis Fellow and Lambeth Writer in Residence. A typical example of his verse is as follows:

*Wi mek a lickle date
fi nineteen seventy eight
An wi fite an wi fite
an defeat di State.*

IMPLICATIONS OF THE 'BRADFORD TWELVE' ACQUITTAL.

BY RAY HILL.

AMID the euphoria of the victory over the Falklands and the intense public debate over the slaughter of around ten thousand civilians by the misunderstood and persecuted Israelis, the little matter of the "Bradford Twelve" has in recent weeks been almost overlooked. This is unfortunate because that verdict, which acquitted twelve Asians who had freely admitted making and storing petrol bombs, will have a more far reaching effect on our way of life than any other event in recent years.

Many Nationalists to whom I have spoken have shrugged off this ridiculous verdict as simply an extension of the weakness demonstrated in the wake of the Bristol riots, but in fact it is far more than that. At Bristol there were at least denials of criminality and counter-allegations against the police. At Bradford, apart from the pleas of "not guilty", the accused made it clear that they were in fact as guilty as hell and were simply challenging the law of the Land.

Their defence was that the petrol bombs were made in "self defence" and that they were for use against "Fascist skinheads", whom, it was rumoured, were intent upon attacking the Asian community in Bradford. Even if this were true — and any Nationalist who is at all in touch with the grass roots knows full well that it is nonsense — it would not alter the guilt of the accused one iota. During the reign of terror in Yorkshire of Peter Sutcliffe, the Yorkshire Ripper, a young nurse was convicted of carrying an offensive weapon which, she said, she carried upon her person at night in "Ripper Country" in order to protect herself in case of attack upon her by that lunatic. She added, with obvious justification, that the police had failed to give her protection, that her duties as a nurse made it impossible to stay off the streets at night and that she felt morally justified in not wanting to be murdered.

This defence, which is rather more convincing than that of a peace-loving black community

cowering in fear of a Nazi invasion and quite unable to call upon the police to help them because the police are all Nazi Skinhead sympathisers, was rejected. The nurse was convicted and a suspended sentence was imposed upon her. It was made clear in that case, as indeed it had been in hundreds of previous cases, that fear of attack, even a genuine fear of a genuine attack, does not entitle a person to walk the streets of this Country carrying offensive weapons, much less to manufacture them and conceal them in public places.

The acquittal of the "Bradford Twelve" was brought about by the simple expedient of defence lawyers using, quite properly and in the interests of their Clients, the jury system which allows "challenges" of jurors to ensure that a jury likely to be sympathetic is chosen to hear the case. The "Bradford Twelve's" jury ended up consisting of seven coloured people and five whites.

The actual charges against the accused were that they made 38 petrol bombs "with intent to endanger life". As they did not deny making them it can only be concluded that the jury decided that they made them in pursuance of some esoteric motive for the benefit of mankind. A further charge was that they "conspired to make petrol bombs for an unlawful purpose". Their acquittal on this charge can only mean that either they did not "conspire", and all spontaneously decided to make some petrol bombs without any consultation together at all, or, alternatively, that it is not unlawful to burn people to death, always providing that they have short hair and that *Searchlight* or some other definitive body has decided that they are "Fascists".

For whatever reason, the fact is that the twelve Asians from Bradford were acquitted. We Nationalists are not the only people to be worried by this fact; many members of the orthodox political Establishment are also worried. It is spurious to suggest that every single member of parliament, all six hundred and

odd of them, are party to some vast conspiracy. There may well be, and in my opinion there is, an anti-British conspiracy in high places, but there are also many basically honest and patriotic (after their own fashion) people involved in Establishment politics. Weak they may be but complete morons they are not, and this verdict will have the effect of frightening large numbers of them.

These powerful and influential members of the Establishment are recognising just what kind of Frankenstein they have allowed to take shape in this Country. Not only are Nationalists now denied the protection of the law, distinguished academics and historians who make the mildest of protests about the behaviour of minority groups or dare to say that they believe the "multi racial experiment" has failed are howled down as "Fascists". The time is fast approaching when they will not be howled down but struck down with bricks and bottles, as many of our number have been in the past.

Such a situation will not be tolerated. It is all very well for old-age pensioners and the less affluent members of the working class to be at the mercy of a violent section of the community which enjoys virtual immunity from our laws but it certainly will not do for middle-order civil servants, back-bench politicians and the like to be in the same position, so something will have to be done — but what?

One thing is absolutely certain. These people will not stand up, *en masse*, and demand repatriation. They will not say what we, and most of them, know to be true — that "integration", "multi-racialism" and all the rest of the claptrap is just that. They will not pour into our camp. Neither will they voice the obvious truth that the jury system that has served Englishmen for so long does not, cannot, and was never intended to, apply to millions of Negro or Asian people with their long traditions of solving civil disputes by indulging in riots and war dances.

There will only be one course open to them and that will be the same course as was taken in relation to the offence of "Assault upon a Police Officer". It has been a general principle of English law for generations that, for more serious offences, an accused person has the right to elect trial by jury. Obviously, Assault upon a Police Officer is a more serious offence than a similar assault upon a civilian yet the right to elect trial for the former has been removed whilst for the latter, and less serious offences, it remains. The reason for this strange anomaly is simple. Juries were finding too many people "Not Guilty" of assaulting police officers. I forecast

that more and more offences will become subject to "Summary Trial", which means trial by Magistrates, and that your right and my right to jury trial will be taken away from us because that system is not suitable for dealing with the situation imposed upon us in this Country.

There may be many amongst us who would argue that this would be no bad thing if it resulted in more realistic treatment of those with whom we are concerned. The problem is of course that it will do no such thing.

Continued
Overleaf

COMMON MARKET COMMENT

TORPEDOING THE VETO

THE CRISIS caused by Argentina's invasion of the Falkland Islands has come at a propitious time for the EEC. The Community is in serious disarray; and were it not for the crisis, its sharp practice in torpedoing Britain's right of veto in order to force through an 11 per-cent farm price increase would have caused an uproar that could have split the Community from top to bottom.

As it is, the increase, which will cost British taxpayers an estimated additional £112 million this year, has passed by almost unnoticed. And the way it was forced through is contrary to all the reassurances we were given before joining the EEC to the effect that member states could always, as a last resort, veto proposals that they considered damaging to their national interests.

The fact that Britain has been forced to pay still more into the EEC coffers is disgraceful. On a *per capita* basis Britain is the fourth poorest country in the Community, and yet we pay more into the budget than any country with the exception of Germany. Why, then, have British protests about the increase been so muted?

The half-hearted noises emanating from Foreign Secretary Francis Pym and Agriculture Minister Peter Walker lend credence to rumours that some sort of deal was negotiated behind the scenes in order to secure the Community's somewhat tepid support for continued (though temporary) sanctions against Argentina. If the rumours are true, the pathetic whimperings made by Pym and Walker must have been intended to keep quiet the natives back at home.

For more than 20 years the writer of this column has argued that the Common Agriculture Policy was bound to have a detrimental effect on those countries employing the more advanced farming techniques. This has now proved to be the case. Agriculture in Britain is suffering from membership of the EEC than it is in the other member states precisely because British agriculture is less labour intensive, and therefore more efficient than theirs is. To make matters worse, Britain's massive contributions to the Community budget jeopardise our future economic prospects, make it more difficult for us to pull out of the recession, exacerbate unemployment, and impoverish our people. It is time that we got out.

TALKING POINTS

by FRONTIERSMAN

AND STILL THEY COME

A few days ago I had a discussion with a middle-aged man who insisted that no more than 2 per cent of Britain's population are coloured. Quite obviously the gentleman concerned is either blinkered or he simply doesn't want to admit the truth. By chance the Office of Population Censuses and Surveys issued a report the very next day, which showed that one person in every twenty (i.e. 5 per cent) living in Britain is coloured. But even this figure is likely to be a gross underestimate because the OPCS doesn't take into account the large number of immigrants who have come here illegally and gone to earth.

Meanwhile, the Home Office Statistical Bulletin Issue 9/82 informs us that during the past year 9,500 fewer immigrants have been accepted for settlement in Britain than in the previous year. Predictably, newspapers made much of the "fall" in immigration; so much so that one could be forgiven for thinking that immigrants were leaving these shores *en masse*. No such luck! The alarming fact is that 56,500 immigrants were accepted for settlement in the year ending 31st March 1982. And as long ago as the 1970 general election the Tory manifesto said: "There will be no further large-scale permanent immigration." — not once, but twice! We must be stark staring bonkers to vote such people into power.

MULTIRACIAL CLINK

One area where it can't be denied that the coloured population is rapidly increasing is our prisons. A Home Office report entitled *Work of Prison Department 1981* points out that in some "penalty establishments" the black popu-

lation accounts for about 50 per cent of the inmates. This seems to confirm my long-held opinion that Blacks have an inordinate propensity for crime. Certainly Blacks are disproportionately represented in our prisons, for the black population outside the prisons has not yet reached 50 per cent of the total population, though at the rate that it is increasing, it won't be many years before it has.

With such a large number of Blacks in the prisons it was inevitable that sooner or later the busybody brigade of bleeding hearts and do-gooders would have to get into the act. And so the report gleefully informs us that "race relation liaison officers" are being appointed in all prisons. In addition, prison staffs are now having to cater for special dietary and religious needs, and books in minority languages are being provided in prison libraries. Special concessions have also been made for 'Rastafarians', but the report doesn't say whether the smoking of 'ganja' will be permitted for the adherents of this 'religion'.

All I can say is God help any Whites unfortunate to find themselves in a multiracial clink of the sort described in the report. Six months in such a place sounds to me to be a far worse punishment than twenty years' hard labour! And God help the prison officers who have to administer this latest manifestation of multiracial madness.

TREASON CONFIRMED

Anyone who has taken an interest in the plight of the Falkland Islanders over recent years will be well aware that British governments have long been preparing to abandon the islanders to the tender mercies of internationalism if not to Argentina. Those who remain uncon-

vinced about suggestions that some sort of sell out was being negotiated behind the scenes should ponder what Lord Stansgate (*alias* Anthony Wedgwood-Benn, *alias* Tony Benn) had to say about the matter. Speaking in the Commons, he asked: "With the whole British case conceded, what is the case for war? Why should people die for a pre-arranged abandonment of the paramouncy of the interests of the islanders to a United Nations administration?" By asking these questions Mr. Benn undoubtedly hoped to embarrass the Government by drawing attention to the secret negotiations it had been having with Argentina before the invasion took place. But Mr. Benn was himself a member of several governments which conducted similar secret negotiations with Argentina concerning the sovereignty of the Falklands. Mr. Benn is a humbug; but what is worse is the effect that his speeches must be having on our servicemen in the South Atlantic who chance to hear them.

OPINION-FORMERS

And while we're on the subject of the Falklands, my eye was caught by a piece about disgraced ex-Foreign Secretary Carrington written in the *Daily Express* by Peter McKay.

Mr. McKay gives details about a dinner recently held in Claridges by a shady organisation called "The 30 Club". (30 pieces of silver perhaps?) There were 140 "opinion-formers" at the dinner, among them the unlamented Lord Carrington, who made a speech.

It seems that his Lordship didn't apologise for the mess he got Britain into over the Falkland Islands, nor did he show much concern for our servicemen who have lost their lives in the South Atlantic as a result of his incompetence. What he did say, according to Mr. McKay, was that Britain should come to an accommodation with President Galtieri. Mr. McKay suggests that Carrington has ambitions to climb back to a position of power and influence. Over how many dead bodies?

★★★★

DOTTY PRELATES

Following the unedifying spectacle of Bishop Leo McCartie of the Catholic Commission for Racial Justice supporting the Commission's view that Rastafarians should be allowed the use of Catholic premises in which to perform their 'religious' rituals, including the smoking of pot, comes the equally disturbing news that another Catholic prelate, the Right Reverend Victor Guazzelli, Bishop in East London, has denounced Britain's attempt to liberate the Falkland Islanders from Argentine tyranny as "nationalism gone riot".



Bishop Guazzelli.

It seems to me that the Roman Church in Britain has now almost as many dotty prelates and clerics as the Anglican Church, and that is saying something! I was therefore glad to see that one Catholic MP, Sir John Biggs-Davison, publicly rebuked the Bishop and added that he intended to petition the Pope for his removal as Bishop in East London. Sir John is particularly incensed with the Bishop for condemning Britain's use of force at a time when so many young Catholics are serving with the Task Force in the South Atlantic.

What a contrast Bishop Guazzelli's attitude is when compared with that of the Argentine Catholic bishops, all of whom have vigorously supported their Government's invasion of the Falklands. One of them, Bishop Manuel Menendez of San Martin, wrote in the Argentine Catholic weekly, *Esquiu*: "the Fourth Commandment tells us to love our

country, and, if necessary to give our lives for it." The Bishop's words put me in mind of a letter I received from an English Benedictine monk some three years ago. The monk told me that he was a Nationalist and "a militarist for good measure." He quoted: "I will remember the words of my first Abbot that patriotism is part of the Fourth Commandment to 'honour thy father and thy mother.'" Patriotic Catholics should pray that this good monk be given a bishopric — preferably that of East London!

EVEN THE KIDS HAVE NOTICED!

The following letter written by a 14-year-old London boy recently appeared in the *Daily Mail*:

"Why are there so many 'wet' people on TV and radio? They seem to think that we should give in to rioters, criminals and aggressors all the time. But only idiots think like that in real life. I'm always hearing pompous people saying we must have more research or we should try to understand a mugger's problems."

EVEN MURDERERS CAN'T STAND DO-GOODERS!

MOORS murderer Ian Brady has told Lord Longford: "Leave me alone." Brady wants to stay in prison and has repeatedly asked the do-gooding peer to stop campaigning on his behalf.

QUOTE

"I have lessons to learn from this incident the same as everyone else has."

— John Nott speakin the the House of Commons about the Argentine invasion of the Falkland Islands

POLITICIANS RESPONSIBLE FOR LOSS OF LIFE IN STH. ATLANTIC.

OUR SERVICEMEN INSUFFICIENTLY SUPPORTED & EQUIPPED.

ONE of the more disquieting features of the crisis over the Falkland Islands is the apparent indifference of so many politicians towards the lives and well-being of the servicemen who have been called upon to sort out the mess that the politicians themselves created.

It is particularly disturbing to learn that British ships like the ill-fated *Sheffield*, *Antelope* and *Ardent* were constructed of an aluminium alloy which provides no real protection against armour-piercing shells and missiles, and which, in any case, burns once it has reached a certain temperature. This lack of adequate armour is nothing new where British warships are concerned. At Jutland two cruisers were sunk by single salvos from the enemy, which prompted the Cruiser Battle Squadron commander, Admiral Beatty, to ask the famous question: "What's wrong with our bloody ships today?" The answer was that the politicians had considered it too expensive to provide adequate armour plating. Sailors are expendable.


Equally disturbing is the fact that, despite warnings, the ships were provided with PVC coverings for electric cables. PVC, as is well known, gives off dense smoke and toxic fumes once it is alight, and

does not require oxygen to keep burning. In addition, our sailors were provided with polyester-nylon combat overalls which also burn, causing hideous injuries to those wearing them.

That our servicemen should have to fight in such conditions, and that their ships should not have been equipped to repel anti-ship missiles is an utter disgrace for which the penny-pinching attitude of our politicians is responsible. The gross dereliction of duty by the politicians before hostilities commenced is bad enough; but even worse was to follow.

It is axiomatic that war is total; it is not possible to fight half a war. Yet this is precisely what the Government did, and it resulted in a catastrophic loss of British lives. The first action that should have been taken was the destruction of the Argentine mainland air force base at Rio Gallegos and the major fuel installation at Comodoro Rivadavia. This would have denied the Argentines the ability to launch air attacks against the Task Force; but the Task Force commander was not allowed to undertake this very necessary step for fear of upsetting "world opinion". The result of this craven decision is that a large number of British lads are now

dead. The blame for their deaths lies squarely on the Government and those carping spokesmen of the ful and parsimonious. Widows and other dependants of those killed should be properly compensated and given generous pensions for the setting up by the life. Some time ago *New Frontier* Government of the South Atlantic drew attention to the niggardly way



Fund for the dependants of those killed in the fighting. By this device the Government intends to rely on

British war widows are treated. Their pensions are a pittance when compared to the pensions given by

other countries to the widows of servicemen killed on active duty.

When the last traces of the Argentine occupation have been removed from the Falklands a full-scale public inquiry must be held to allocate the blame for what has happened. Besides investigating why the Argentine invasion was opposed, the inquiry must also ask why the Argentine mainland bases were not attacked, and why British warships were inadequately armed and protected. In addition, a separate inquiry must be held to determine generous pension levels for the dependants of British servicemen killed in action, and not only those killed in the South Atlantic.

Already there are rumours that an inquiry will be held, but it will be held *in camera* and its findings will not be published. This is not good enough. *New Frontier* demands that those who have been responsible for the loss of so many young British lives should be fully exposed and made answerable for their ineptitude and cowardice.

New Frontier, though it believes in racial differences, opposes race-hatred. All those who oppose multi-racialism should attack the politicians who promote it, not the immigrants, who are merely its victims.

BUY NEW FRONTIER IN BULK

New Frontier retails at 12p from your local seller. Would bulk purchasers please order only in the quantities listed below. Standing orders welcomed.

10 copies	£1.20	+	30p post
25 copies	£2.70	+	87p post
50 copies	£4.80	+	£1.43 post
100 copies	£8.40	+	£1.73 post
150 copies	£11.70	+	£1.90 post
200 copies	£14.40	+	£2.05 post
300 copies	£19.80	+	£2.35 post
400 copies	£24.00	+	£2.55 post
500 copies	£29.40		
1,000 copies	£55.20		

Cheques/postal orders to *New Frontier*, PO Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB. Please keep orders and enquiries concerning *New Frontier* totally separate from other correspondence in order to avoid delay and confusion.

STICKERS

BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY stickers are now available in the following varieties:—

'Join the British National Party'
'Stop immigration — start repatriation'
'British jobs for British workers'
'Keep the Falkland Islands British'
'Keep the Falklands oil British'
'Repatriation now'
'Nuclear free — a subversive fraud' (anti-CND)
'Stamp out vermin' (anti-communist, showing rat with hammer and sickle).

All the stickers are illustrated and cost 70p per 100 (inc. postage). Order from: BNP Surrey Group, c/o Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB.

Cassettes

BNP Recordings offer two cassettes dealing with Nationalist topics:—

VOICES OF NATIONALISM

Side 1: 'Whites unite!' — Excerpts from speeches at Nationalist rally in London on September 5th 1981 calling for Nationalist unity.

Side 2: 'The coming British Revolution' — Talk by John Tyndall on the fraudulence of 'liberal democracy' and the need for a radically new political system in Britain.

TYNDALL SPEAKS

Side 1: 'Our Anglo-Saxon heritage' — John Tyndall talks about the great worldwide heritage of the Anglo-Saxon-Celtic peoples and calls for their unity.

Side 2: 'Britain's economic crisis' — John Tyndall talks about the breakdown of the British economy over a long period and calls for a new economic policy based on Economic Nationalism.

The cassettes cost £3 each — plus 16½p for postage. All orders should be sent to: BNP Recordings, Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB.

THE "BRADFORD TWELVE" ACQUIT-TAL.

Cont. from Page 3.

Because the fearful Establishment is basically riddled with weakness and cowardice, it will prefer to pretend that it is the judicial system which is at fault. That system that has served Englishmen well for century upon century will be subject to piecemeal erosion in order to try to protect those who have created our predicament from the consequences of their actions.

Such action will of course protect them only in the short term, and the time will come when these people will be forced to admit their own culpability. But that time is a long way off.

The immediate future holds very little for Nationalists other than even more victimisation. We shall be blamed for having been demonstrably and provably right and it will be us who will be the first victims of any erosion of civil rights in this Country.

The repercussions of the "Bradford Twelve" affair will not be pleasant in the short term but we can take some comfort from the fact that every such incident speeds the day when we shall reap our just rewards. There is an old North Country saying which goes: "It is always darkest just before the dawn." The time is now approaching when it is going to be practically pitch black; the dawn, however, will come, and we must prepare for it.

THE MOVEMENT.

THE BATTLE FOR LONDON

Eddie Morrison Reports.

THE BNP is mounting a massive assault on our nation's capital — London! If we can conquer London by becoming the paramount biggest and best organised party in it, then we have the key to the nationalist conquest of Britain.

Power resides in London — and the BNP needs power to kick out the rag-bag bunch of establishment traitors who call themselves 'the Government'.

London in the past was the biggest source of manpower and materials that racial-nationalism had. Today that base lies smashed and ruined.

The BNP is fast approaching becoming the supreme party of British Nationalism. It is unifying the divided patriots of many parties — and the trickle of new members of our early days has become a stream — the BNP's Battle for London will make it a flood!

The Recruitment Section of the movement has already made much headway over the past few months. New groups have been founded; old comrades contacted and brought back into action — this is the beginning.

Our aim for this year is to unify totally all sincere racial-nationalists in Greater London, and also reactivate the many thousands of disillusioned ones, dispirited after the break-up of the National Front.

Into our ranks also are being absorbed the dedicated street-soldiers of the British Movement.

Many plans have been laid. We are applying for a mass nationalist rally in Trafalgar Square — and also we are organising a big central indoor rally. Trafalgar Square has been denied to the Right for too long — the Left monopolise and we aim to break that monopoly!

Next month we will be reporting on the new units which are constantly being established.

WHAT WE STAND FOR

- The return of leadership and statesmanship to British affairs.
- A government that will put British interests first.
- British withdrawal from the European Common Market.
- Closer links with the White Commonwealth.
- Protection of British industry by the exclusion of foreign manufactured goods from Britain.
- A sane financial system which will give to the people the purchasing power to buy the goods they produce.
- The revival of British farming.
- British ownership and control of British industry and resources.
- A clamp-down on social security spongers and the restoration of the incentive to work.
- An end to immigration and a start to repatriation.
- British support of the Whites in South Africa.
- An end to financial aid to black dictatorships.
- The strengthening of Britain's defences.
- Tougher treatment of criminals.
- The smashing of the IRA, the death penalty for terrorists and firm support for the Loyalist majority in Northern Ireland.
- Repeal of the laws permitting Abortion and Homosexuality and a general toughening up of legislation against the promotion of obscenity in the media.
- The elimination of Communism in Britain, in the mass media, in the factory and in the schoolroom.

WRITE TO US

To: British National Party, PO Box 115, Hove, East Sussex BN3 3SB
Tick appropriate box

Please send me more information for which I enclose 20p. ☐

I wish to become a Full Member and enclose £6.00 (Half-rate for students and OAPs) ☐

I wish to become an Associate Member and enclose £3.00 (No half-rate) ☐

Name

Address

SUBSCRIBE!

In order to make sure of receiving *New Frontier* regularly, why not take out a subscription? The rate is £3.40 for 12 issues for subscribers in the British Isles. For subscribers overseas the rate is £4.00 for 12 issues (surface mail). Please make cheques/POs payable to *New Frontier* and send to *New Frontier*, PO Box 115, Hove, E. Sussex BN3 3SB.

An additional charge of £1.00 is required on all foreign cheques.

Name

Address

OVERSEAS SUBSCRIBERS WELCOMED